

Consumer Information Survey Spring 2018

This is an average given by students.
Yes answers are 2 points.
No answers are 1 point.

Faculty Member		Responses			Did the instructor communicated in clear and understandable manner?	Would you recommend this instructor to another student?	Was this instructor helpful and responsive to student answers and /or concerns?	Did you gain knowledge, skill, or ability from being in the instructor's class?	Did the instructor create a positive learning environment?	Overall
Ali	Mohammed	2	9	22%	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.50	1.50	1.70
Bailey	Lisa	8	12	67%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Barks	Melynda	60	74	81%	1.97	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.98	1.99
Basden	Jason	5	6	83%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Baskin	Heather	5	7	71%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Baskin	Katherine	5	17	29%	1.80	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.96
Baskin	Timothy	12	29	41%	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92
Bauwens	Mary	15	41	37%	1.97	2.00	1.97	1.97	1.97	1.97
Bayless	Alan	3	14	21%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Bayless	Jesi	5	11	45%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Berry	Jacqueline	12	20	60%	1.89	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.98
Black	Melissa	27	45	60%	1.85	1.85	1.93	1.89	1.92	1.89
Blum	Esther	27	39	69%	1.93	2.00	1.89	2.00	1.96	1.96
Bone	Miles	12	32	38%	1.69	1.58	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.82
Boyd	Steven	21	36	58%	1.91	1.96	1.96	2.00	1.96	1.96
Braswell	Shaun	6	9	67%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Byers	Korey	7	18	39%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Calkins	Nathan	34	64	53%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Campbell	Stephanie	42	95	44%	1.93	1.82	1.97	1.91	1.95	1.92
Carollo	Giovanni	5	9	56%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Carson-Bird	Elizabeth	26	49	53%	1.88	1.77	1.94	1.86	1.80	1.85
Cecil	Harry	21	64	33%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Clausen	Andrew	46	78	59%	1.83	1.80	1.92	1.91	1.91	1.87
Colvin-Nelson	Brenda	3	19	16%	1.75	1.75	1.75	2.00	1.75	1.80
Conway	Wanda	22	26	85%	1.87	1.78	1.91	1.87	1.91	1.87
Cook	Stanley	7	13	54%	1.86	1.86	2.00	2.00	1.86	1.92
Cravens	David	6	11	55%	1.50	1.33	1.67	1.33	1.33	1.43
Creclius	Carolyn	21	28	75%	2.00	1.94	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Crites	Tabatha	12	34	35%	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.87	1.87	1.83
Cross	John	2	10	20%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Difiori	John	6	26	23%	1.84	1.67	2.00	1.84	1.67	1.80
Dillon	Jodi	60	122	49%	1.88	1.88	1.85	1.96	1.95	1.90
Dolan	Lacy	5	10	50%	2.00	1.60	2.00	1.60	2.00	1.84
Doss	Stephen	5	7	71%	1.80	1.20	1.60	1.60	1.80	1.60
Douglas	Teri	26	39	67%	2.00	1.96	2.00	1.96	2.00	1.98
Downs	Julie	28	71	39%	1.92	1.86	1.97	1.95	1.92	1.92
Drye	Marc	35	54	65%	1.94	1.90	1.97	1.85	2.00	1.93
Duncan	Leslie	23	54	43%	2.00	1.95	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Durham	Emily	7	10	70%	1.43	1.71	1.71	1.71	1.86	1.68
East	Mary	14	34	41%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Easter	Mark	23	39	59%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Edgar	Judy	58	96	60%	1.90	1.89	1.92	1.93	1.91	1.91
Edmonds	Retha	4	6	67%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Erickson	Angela	22	26	85%	2.00	2.00	1.95	1.91	2.00	1.97
Etzold	Brenda	9	13	69%	1.92	1.92	2.00	1.75	2.00	1.92
Fehlker	Joseph	3	15	20%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Flotron	Richard	25	100	25%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Fritch	Paul	20	38	53%	1.97	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99

Consumer Information Survey Spring 2018

This is an average given by students.
Yes answers are 2 points.
No answers are 1 point.

Faculty Member		Responses			Did the instructor communicated in clear and understandable manner?	Would you recommend this instructor to another student?	Was this instructor helpful and responsive to student answers and /or concerns?	Did you gain knowledge, skill, or ability from being in the instructor's class?	Did the instructor create a positive learning environment?	Overall
Gamble	Rhonda	97	131	63%	1.92	1.88	1.95	1.91	1.97	1.93
Gans	Anthony	29	59	49%	1.90	1.93	1.97	1.97	1.93	1.94
Geist	Zoe	25	53	47%	1.63	1.58	1.74	1.61	1.79	1.67
Gerwitz	James	27	57	47%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Glasbrenner	Laura	31	64	48%	1.87	1.93	1.90	1.86	2.00	1.91
Green	Daniel	4	25	16%	1.50	1.25	1.50	1.25	2.00	1.50
Green	Keith	6	19	32%	1.83	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.83	1.93
Greenland	Roger	36	62	58%	1.98	1.94	1.98	1.98	1.98	1.97
Groves	Karen	7	11	64%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Guemmer	David	6	18	33%	1.83	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.97
Guinan	Cody	11	18	61%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Hale	Jennifer	4	6	67%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Hall	Connie	6	7	86%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Hansen	Sarah	6	21	29%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Harris	Jessica	16	39	41%	1.95	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Hawn	Cathy	35	57	61%	1.91	1.81	1.89	1.85	1.85	1.86
Head	Steven	5	11	45%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Henson	Amy	5	10	50%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Henson	Amy	40	91	44%	1.99	1.99	2.00	1.99	2.00	1.99
Hickman	Janice	20	34	59%	1.96	2.00	2.00	1.96	1.96	1.98
Hogard	Dana	2	5	40%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Hovis	Sara	4	7	57%	1.75	1.75	2.00	1.75	2.00	1.85
Hrouda	James	7	19	37%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Huff	Donald	8	9	89%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Hutcheson	Catherine	49	76	64%	1.98	1.99	2.00	2.00	1.98	1.99
Jaycox	Pamela	28	45	62%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Jones	Blake	11	42	26%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Jordan	Heather	4	13	31%	1.75	1.75	2.00	1.75	2.00	1.85
Junge	Pamela	9	39	23%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.78	1.96
Kekec	Sondra	6	8	75%	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.83	2.00	1.97
Kester	Greg	3	9	33%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Kieschnick	Lauren	30	74	41%	1.96	2.00	1.92	2.00	1.95	1.97
Klaus	Victor	33	72	46%	1.96	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.98	1.95
Kline	Todd	47	97	48%	1.89	1.86	1.84	1.95	1.89	1.89
Klusmeyer	Christopher	6	18	33%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Kutz	Mary	3	12	25%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Labruyere	Lana	66	109	61%	1.96	1.96	1.97	1.98	1.91	1.96
Lamb	Michael	4	22	18%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Laurentius	Jesse	11	29	38%	1.54	1.54	1.81	1.59	1.88	1.67
Lay	Carla	5	20	25%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Lee	Debra	37	50	78%	1.91	1.85	1.85	1.96	1.96	1.91
Leible	Christie	2	9	22%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Lewis	Lisa	1	5	20%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Lukefahr	Tammie	5	14	36%	1.75	1.75	1.75	2.00	2.00	1.85
Lyons	Debra	26	42	62%	1.82	1.82	1.86	1.86	1.89	1.85
Mahnken	Jayne	5	20	25%	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80
Manns	Lizabeth	2	7	29%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Marler	Kevin	19	35	54%	1.94	1.89	1.94	1.85	1.96	1.92

Consumer Information Survey Spring 2018

This is an average given by students.
Yes answers are 2 points.
No answers are 1 point.

Faculty Member		Responses			Did the instructor communicated in clear and understandable manner?	Would you recommend this instructor to another student?	Was this instructor helpful and responsive to student answers and /or concerns?	Did you gain knowledge, skill, or ability from being in the instructor's class?	Did the instructor create a positive learning environment?	Overall
Maxson	Constance	6	8	75%	2.00	1.67	1.83	1.67	2.00	1.83
Mays-Nielson	Diana	5	21	24%	2.00	2.00	1.84	2.00	2.00	1.97
McCoy	Valerie	23	37	62%	1.96	1.95	1.95	2.00	2.00	1.97
McMillian	Roger	3	6	50%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Meenach	Dean	23	82	28%	1.98	1.95	1.95	1.98	1.98	1.97
Mell	Elizabeth	12	20	60%	1.94	1.88	1.94	1.94	2.00	1.94
Merrill-Doss	Mary	16	36	44%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Miller	Jay	33	67	49%	1.82	1.80	1.88	1.80	1.90	1.84
Miller	Ellen	34	44	86%	1.98	1.98	1.98	1.98	2.00	1.98
Mills	Maureen	7	16	44%	1.46	1.59	1.88	1.88	2.00	1.76
Mills	Kara	23	44	52%	1.89	2.00	1.89	2.00	2.00	1.96
Mitchell	Bart	26	54	48%	1.78	1.68	1.80	1.77	1.89	1.78
Mitchell	Helen	4	6	67%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Mitchell	Keith	17	35	49%	1.61	1.69	1.80	1.77	1.72	1.72
Moore	Teresa	25	46	54%	1.90	1.94	1.94	1.97	1.97	1.94
Morgan	Victoria	9	21	43%	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.89	2.00	1.98
Morrison	Jennifer	31	68	46%	1.84	1.71	1.82	1.91	1.91	1.84
Murdock	Emily	44	75	59%	1.97	1.97	2.00	1.94	2.00	1.98
Nelson	Kent	5	11	45%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Nickelson	Angela	3	8	38%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Nivens	Joel	13	34	38%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Norman	Crystal	6	16	38%	2.00	2.00	1.90	2.00	1.90	1.96
Novotny	Misty	4	7	57%	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	2.00	1.80
Nute	Manfred	24	31	77%	1.96	1.89	2.00	1.96	1.91	1.94
Ogden	Ralph	4	7	57%	2.00	2.00	1.75	2.00	2.00	1.95
Oliver	Deborah	10	10	100%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Overman	Michael	14	24	58%	1.93	1.93	2.00	1.86	1.92	1.93
Palmer	Sherri	7	20	35%	1.86	1.71	2.00	1.86	2.00	1.89
Partney	Jeremy	113	250	45%	1.96	1.96	1.95	1.93	1.96	1.95
Pendergrass	Anthony	12	13	92%	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.92
Perry	Pamela	3	10	30%	1.67	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.93
Petersen	Nancy	23	49	47%	1.88	1.78	1.88	1.90	1.93	1.87
Petty	Bethany	26	57	46%	1.80	1.86	1.97	1.85	1.96	1.89
Pipkin	Lisa	27	50	54%	1.95	1.93	1.98	2.00	1.96	1.96
Portell	Taylor	29	47	62%	2.00	1.97	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Ragland	Jim	26	59	44%	1.89	1.86	1.94	1.92	1.97	1.92
Reeder	Kasey	7	9	78%	1.57	1.57	1.71	1.71	1.86	1.68
Reese	Randall	7	18	39%	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86
Roberts-Simmons	Tami	18	46	39%	1.95	1.95	2.00	1.94	2.00	1.97
Ruch	Michael	10	25	40%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Ruess	Douglas	1	10	10%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Ruess	Douglas	27	89	30%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Sargent	Karen	8	11	73%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Saum	George	16	56	29%	1.90	1.95	1.95	1.84	1.89	1.91
Scheidt	Brian	46	97	47%	1.86	1.85	1.91	1.85	1.94	1.88
Scheldt	Brandon	30	57	53%	1.97	1.92	2.00	1.92	2.00	1.96
Schmitt	Christian	24	80	30%	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99	2.00	2.00
Schroepfel	Stephen	32	59	54%	1.92	1.98	1.98	1.98	1.98	1.97

Consumer Information Survey Spring 2018

This is an average given by students.
Yes answers are 2 points.
No answers are 1 point.

Faculty Member		Responses			Did the instructor communicated in clear and understandable manner?	Would you recommend this instructor to another student?	Was this instructor helpful and responsive to student answers and /or concerns?	Did you gain knowledge, skill, or ability from being in the instructor's class?	Did the instructor create a positive learning environment?	Overall
Seaber	Karen	35	67	52%	1.87	1.82	1.90	1.90	1.98	1.90
Sebaugh	Scott	27	59	46%	1.86	1.87	1.87	1.90	1.84	1.87
Seyer	Mary	9	26	35%	1.80	1.80	1.90	1.90	1.80	1.84
Sheckles	Jessica	34	63	54%	1.75	1.85	1.94	1.79	1.97	1.86
Shelton	William	4	8	50%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Sikes	Jennifer	51	98	52%	1.99	1.96	1.91	2.00	2.00	1.97
Sitzes	Sierra	3	12	25%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Smith	Dale	17	24	71%	2.00	1.95	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Stahl	Sarah	5	7	71%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Stricklin	Derek	25	45	56%	1.97	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Sullivan-Shinn	Sally	2	5	40%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Summers	Bobbi	4	12	33%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Taylor	Shelley	6	24	25%	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83
Thompson	Jordan	7	25	28%	2.00	2.00	1.71	1.86	2.00	1.91
Tilley	Michael	2	16	13%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Toney	David	8	27	30%	1.75	1.50	1.84	1.75	2.00	1.77
Upchurch	Robert	15	29	52%	1.94	1.87	1.87	1.94	1.87	1.90
Vaughn	Craig	11	20	55%	1.73	1.82	2.00	1.91	2.00	1.89
Wadlow	Richard	61	115	53%	1.94	1.82	1.89	1.84	1.91	1.88
Warner	Abril	33	70	47%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Warren	David	28	60	47%	1.96	1.80	2.00	1.93	1.91	1.92
Werremeyer	Nathan	2	9	22%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
West	Mary	4	9	44%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
White	Kevin	22	64	34%	2.00	1.96	2.00	1.96	2.00	1.99
Wilfong	Stacy	11	22	50%	1.94	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Williams	Kristen	16	31	52%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Williams	Margaret	34	43	79%	2.00	1.93	1.97	1.95	1.93	1.96
Wilson	Rodney	55	60	92%	1.97	1.93	1.97	2.00	1.96	1.97
Wisdom	Deborah	54	109	82%	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99	2.00	2.00
Wiskus	Richard	29	72	40%	1.90	1.94	1.93	1.94	1.97	1.94
Wolk	Jill	34	60	57%	2.00	1.97	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.99
Wood	Thomas	32	73	44%	1.89	1.72	1.89	1.94	1.94	1.87
Woodland	David	3	6	50%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Wright	John	47	96	49%	1.95	2.00	2.00	1.98	1.97	1.98
Young	Kimberly	8	16	50%	2.00	1.75	1.88	2.00	2.00	1.93
Young	Shawn	28	42	67%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Zuellig	Amy	11	36	31%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Overall		2111	4189	50%	1.92	1.90	1.94	1.92	1.95	1.93